IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

FILED BY Fg. D.C. 05 JUN 17 AM 10: 03

ROBERT R. DI TROLIO CLERK, U.S. DIST. CT. W.D. OF TN, MEMPHIS

CYNTHIA BRADDOCK,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 05-2245 - Ma/P

BABCOCK & WILCOX CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.,

Defendant.

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to written notice, a scheduling conference was held on June 16, 2005. Present were Randall Fishman, counsel for plaintiff and Scott Frick, counsel for defendant. At the conference, the following dates were established as the final dates for: INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1):............... June 30, 2005 JOINING PARTIES: August 16, 2005 COMPLETING ALL DISCOVERY: February 16, 2006 DOCUMENT PRODUCTION: December 16, 2005 (a) (b) DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS: February 16, 2006 (c) **EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE (Rule 26):** (1) DISCLOSURE OF PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26 EXPERT INFORMATION: December 16, 2005



This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 6.5

(2) DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S RULE 26 EXPERT INFORMATION: January 16, 2006.

(3) EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS:February 16, 2006

FILING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: March 16, 2006

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS:

No depositions may be scheduled to occur after the discovery cutoff date. All motions, requests for admissions, or other filings that require a response must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to enable opposing counsel to respond by the time permitted by the Rules prior to that date.

Motions to compel discovery are to be filed and served by the discovery deadline or within 30 days of the default or the service of the response, answer or objection, which is the subject of the motion, if the default occurs within 30 days of the discovery deadline, unless the time for filing of sucyh motion is extended for good cause shown, or the objection to the default, response, answer, or objection shall be waived.

This case is set for jury trial. The pretrial order date, pretrial conference date, and trial date will be set by the presiding judge. Trial is expected to last three(3)dap.

This case is appropriate for ADR. The parties are directed to engage in court-annexed attorney mediation or private mediation after the close of discovery.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 11(a)(1)(A), all motions, except motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, 56, 59 and 60 shall be accompanied by a proposed order.

The opposing party may file a response to any motion filed in this matter. Neither party may file an additional reply, however, without leave of the court. If a party believes that a reply is necessary, it shall file a motion for leave to file a reply accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the reasons for which a reply is required.

The parties have not consented to trial before the magistrate judge.

This order has been entered after consultation with trial counsel pursuant to notice.

Absent good cause shown, the scheduling dates set by this order will not be modified or extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

TU M. PHAM

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: June 16, 2005

APPROYED FOR ENTRY:

Kandall J. Fishman

#7097

BALLIN, BALLIN & FISHMAN, P.C.

200 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 1250

Memphis, TN 38103

Attorney for Plaintiff

#12972

Scott A. Frick

STOKES BARTHOLOMEW

EVANS & PETREE, P.A.

1000 Ridgeway Loop Road, Suite 200

Memphis, TN 38120

Phone: (901) 525-6781 Fax (901) 521-0681

K:\sfrick\13388 Babcock & Wilcox\30001 Braddock\pld saf - Order, Scheduling.doc



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 7 in case 2:05-CV-02245 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on June 17, 2005 to the parties listed.

Scott A. Frick STOKES BARTHOLOMEW EVANS & PETREE, P.A. 1000 Ridgeway Loop Rd. Ste. 200 Memphis, TN 38120

Randall J. Fishman BALLIN BALLIN & FISHMAN 200 Jefferson Ave. Ste. 1250 Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable Samuel Mays US DISTRICT COURT